

GLOBAL POLITICAL ECONOMY
POLSCI 774 / GLOBALST 774
Term 1, Fall 2019

Instructor: Tony Porter

Email: tporter@mcmaster.ca

Seminar: Mondays, 8:30-11:20 a.m.

Classroom: KTH 709

Office: KTH 536

Office Hours: Mon. 11:30am-1:30 pm

Contents

Course Description.....	3
Course Objectives.....	3
Required Materials and Texts	3
Class Format.....	4
Course Evaluation – Overview	4
Course Evaluation – Details	4
Weekly Course Schedule and Required Readings	8
Week 1 Monday September 9/ Overview	8
Week 2 Monday September 16/ Concepts I	9
Week 3 Monday September 23/ Concepts II	9
Week 4 Monday September 30/ Trade.....	11
Week 5 Monday October 7/ Finance	13
Week 6 Week of October 14/ Break – No class.....	16
Week 7 Monday October 21/ Finance and global order.....	16
Week 8 Monday October 28/ Beyond US liberal hegemony?	16
Week 9 Monday November 4/ The Global South	17
Week 10 Monday November 11/ Supply chains	19
Week 11 Monday November 18/ Infrastructures	21
Week 12 Monday November 25/ New technologies	22
Week 13 Monday December 2/ Governance.....	23
Course Policies	26
Submission of Assignments.....	26
Grades.....	26
Late Assignments	26

Absences, Missed Work, Illness	26
Avenue to Learn	26
Turnitin.com	26
University Policies	27
Academic Integrity Statement	27
Academic Accommodation of Students with Disabilities	27
Faculty of Social Sciences E-mail Communication Policy	27
Course Modification	27
Appendix I: Other Theoretical Readings.....	29
Research Proposal Report Form.....	34

Course Description

Originally, in the early 1970s when it first emerged, the field of global political economy (GPE) focused heavily on the relationship between states and global markets. It was mostly positioned within the field of International Relations (IR), which was dominated by state-centric political realism at the time, although it drew on prior work in other fields such as Marxist political economy, new institutional economics, or business studies. In those early years GPE brought economic issues into a field that had been focused on war and other security issues. Since then the field has expanded and become far more diverse. While its boundaries are often debated, for many who position themselves within the field it can include any cross-border political interactions that have an economic element, with economy broadly defined to include, for instance, social reproduction (such as the globalization of households) or the cultural aspects of multinational corporations. Theoretical and methodological tool kits are diverse, including ones that are more discursively focused, seeing the GPE as constituted by language, to more positivist ones, seeing the world as filled with empirical regularities which the research seeks to accurately observe using quantitative statistical analysis.

This course aims to provide an overview of the field of GPE that is suitable both for students with minimal background in it, and for PhD students who are well acquainted with GPE from previous courses. A goal will be to showcase the variety of approaches in the field and to assess their strengths and weaknesses. The first part of the course will be more theoretical, but we will explore key practical and empirical issues as the course proceeds.

Course Objectives

By the end of the course students should be able to:

- Use and assess key theoretical approaches to analyzing the global political economy.
- Have an understanding of key practical challenges associated with the contemporary global political economy, such as the organization of production, trade, and finance and the political conflicts associated with these.
- Draw on the most relevant and recent scholarly research and primary documents (such as news articles, official documents, and policy papers from business associations or activist organizations) to produce a research paper that makes an original contribution to knowledge.
- Express an informed perspective and engage in knowledgeable verbal dialogue through seminar presentations and fast-paced discussion.

Required Materials and Texts

- There is no required textbook for this course, but students are required to access and read all the required readings that are listed below. Most are available from

the McMaster electronic journal collections. These can be accessed by using the doi codes below, which are hyperlinked in the electronic version of this course outline. If article doi codes are not available or do not work you can also simply enter the title into the library catalogue search field and proceed from there.

- The field of global political economy and the theories in this course are related to the field of International Relations (IR). If you feel you lack a background or need a refresher in basic concepts in IR you can find many introductory texts in Mills Library. See for instance Robert O'Brien and Marc Williams *Global Political Economy: Evolution and Dynamics* (various editions) New York: Palgrave.
- As well, the open-access website e-International Relations has useful publications: <https://www.e-ir.info/>. See for instance McGlinchey, Stephen. 2017. *International Relations*. Bristol, U.K: E-International Relations Publishing or McGlinchey, Stephen, Rosie Walters, and Christian Scheinpflug, eds. 2017. *International Relations Theory*. Bristol, U.K: E-International Relations Publishing.

Class Format

The course involves weekly three-hour seminar sessions. The seminar will start with each student commenting briefly on the week's readings. The instructor will chair the discussion to ensure that each reading is discussed and that the focus and order of discussion is clear, and will provide ongoing clarifications and commentary, but otherwise the discussion will be driven by comments provided by all the seminar participants, interspersed with short prepared presentations of short papers and research papers as detailed below.

Course Evaluation – Overview

1. **Topic statement and research proposal - 10%**. Topic Statement is due Monday September 30; Research proposal is due Monday October 21. Both can be submitted in class or via email by midnight of the due date.
2. **Class participation – 25%**
3. **Short paper/presentation on a week's topic 1500 words – 20%**. Due (via email or hard copy) by the start of the class the day of the seminar in which it will be presented.
4. **One research paper, 5000 words, with brief presentation to the seminar – 45%**, paper due via email by midnight Monday, December 9.

Course Evaluation – Details

1. **Topic statement and research proposal - 10%**. **Topic Statement is due Monday September 30; Research proposal is due Monday October 21.** Both can be submitted in class or via email by midnight of the due date.

The topic statement is a brief summary of the topic you intend to research, 300 words maximum. Its purpose is to make sure you have a topic that is doable and that fits with course themes. You should choose a topic with current relevance that you will be able to apply global political economy analytical tools to. Any of the current topics discussed in the course outline, or other similar topics, are likely to be acceptable. The following should be included in your topic statement: a tentative title, the topic, how it relates to course themes, and how your paper hopes to contribute to knowledge.

The research proposal is a more developed plan for your paper. It has two main purposes. First, it aims to make sure you have a research plan that is a good one. Second, it asks you to make use of current technologies for carrying out research on themes relevant to the course. It can be up to 3 single spaced pages with regular margins and a 12-point font, not including the bibliography.

In your research proposal you should restate your topic and indicate how hope to say something new on this topic. You should also set out your working hypothesis, argument, or statement explaining the originality of your contribution, and indicate why these are of interest. You should indicate the key developments that are relevant to your topic that have occurred **over the past two years**. You should provide evidence that you have scanned the relevant sources of information in order to ascertain the availability of information on your topic. You do this by filling out the form available in Word format at the end of this course outline, following the instructions in that form, which ask you to consult a mix of news sources, law reviews, NGO and public sector websites, and other sources turned up by a Google search. A Word version of the form will be posted on Avenue. The form should be submitted with the proposal. Please note the minimum number of references (20) specified in the form. You do not need to provide a list of all the material you find, nor do you need to annotate your references, but you should report on your search, and construct a bibliography using the most relevant items, indicating the source of the reference. You must include at least two recent news articles obtained from LexisNexis or Google, and at least two relevant documents obtained from government, business or NGOs via a Google search. Your research topic should have sufficient recent empirical content to allow you to discuss developments over the past two years, as indicated above. You will receive one combined grade for the topic statement and research proposal.

2. **Class participation – 25%.**

This component of the grade is based on active verbal participation and not simply attendance. Improving your capacity to express your verbal analysis of the issues discussed in the course, and to engage verbally with others in doing so, is a key learning outcome of the course. Presentations given in connection with the assignments listed elsewhere are not included in the grading of this component. The following, in priority order, are criteria that will be used in evaluating your

verbal seminar participation: (a) the degree to which you have demonstrated by your comments that you have read the assigned readings; (b) the frequency of your comments; (c) the degree to which your comments engage and respect the agenda and the comments of others; (d) the originality and insightfulness of your comments. In general, we will be following a format where you will raise your hand and be recognized by the chair before speaking. In calling on people the chair will make every effort to give priority to those who have not yet had as much chance to speak as others. There is, therefore, no reason that everyone should not be able to participate fully. Everyone is expected to read all the required readings each week. At the beginning of each class we will go around the table and each person will be expected to comment on what you found interesting, surprising, or troubling in the week's readings. Throughout the seminar you may be called upon to provide your view on any of the required readings. In this course we are reading and discussing a variety of perspectives. In engaging with any particular reading you are expected to be able to demonstrate your understanding of it on its own terms as well as to criticize it.

Sometimes some students find they have a difficult time intervening in fast-moving seminar discussions. This is a learnable skill that is an important part of graduate level training in political science. You should be sure to speak to the instructor if you are having difficulty intervening for any reason. It is important to do this early to be able to fully participate in the seminar and to avoid damage to your participation grade.

In this course the standard practice for missed classes is as follows: the best 10 of 11 classes will be used to determine your participation grade (week 1 will not be graded for participation). Therefore, one missed class will not have an effect except in increasing your risks slightly since the best 10 of 10 classes will be counted rather than the best 10 of 11. For any missed class there is the option of providing 3-4 bullet points for each reading plus 3-4 comments that you would have made in the seminar if you had attended. This can be submitted any time up to the last class.

3. Short paper/presentation on a week's topic 1500 words – 20%.

By the second week of the course you will sign up to produce a paper and presentation on one of the week's topics. This should be different than your research paper topic. These papers will be distributed across the available weeks. The purpose of the paper is to complement and go beyond the week's readings, while also acknowledging them. It should not be a summary of the readings and it should try to avoid duplicating what other seminar participants would be likely to contribute from having read the required readings. You may use any supplementary readings listed in the course outline, or others that you find from looking at bibliographies of articles on the course outline or by doing a search elsewhere. Your goal is to contribute something new to the seminar that is very relevant to it. It would be good to avoid duplication with anyone else

presenting in your week. As a rough guide, you should be drawing on about 60-80 pages of reading, about three scholarly articles. You are required to present your reading without notes. This is good practice and will make your presentation more interesting. You must submit your paper at or before the beginning of the class in which you are to present it. In your presentation you could include a question or issue that the seminar may wish to discuss. The criteria used to evaluate this assignment will be (a) your knowledge of the material; (b) does it synthesize the readings rather than summarizing them sequentially? (c) does it relate the readings to course themes rather than focusing on factors only specific to those particular readings? (d) does it provide your own analysis or criticism of the readings? (e) is the paper well written, and well-organized, focusing on an argument? (e) the degree to which presentation is new and interesting for others in the seminar, including presenting it in an engaging manner; (f) your ability to stay within the specified time limit (**six minutes**).

4. One research paper, 5000 words, with brief presentation to the seminar – 45%, paper due by the beginning of the final class on November 29.

Your research paper should build on your proposal. In grading the paper the following criteria will be used: (a) is a thesis, argument, or statement about the originality of the contribution clearly stated at the beginning of the paper and is the paper organized around that? (b) does your conceptual discussion draw well on one or more theories or explain how you will go beyond description in analysing your topic? (c) do you go beyond the existing literature conceptually or empirically? (d) are the counter-arguments to your own position acknowledged and addressed at some point in your paper? (e) is your writing clear, engaging, and adequately proofread? (f) have you drawn on the best possible scholarly and non-scholarly sources in your paper? (g) have you brought your research up to date (including the past two years)? You do not need to include all the sources you cited in your proposal but you should be sure to use those that are most relevant.

Identifying the counter-arguments to your position is a very useful way of strengthening your argument and confirming its importance. If there are no counter-arguments imaginable then it is likely that you have an argument that is banal and uninteresting. If you challenge strong counter-arguments then your paper will be more likely to make a valuable contribution analytically. You may start to identify counter-arguments by thinking “who would disagree with what I’m saying, and what would they argue?”. This disagreement could be over a matter of fact or over the interpretation or analysis of facts or theories. You may find counter-arguments in the scholarly literature, or in news accounts. Ideally you will be able to quote a publication or public comment to illustrate and confirm the significance and character of the counter-argument. You definitely do not need to agree with any aspect of the counter-argument—part of the purpose of identifying it is to sharpen your own arguments against it.

A purpose of theory is to link specific events, institutions, or other empirical focuses of research to more generalizable assertions about the world. As will be discussed in the course, this does not necessarily imply that it is possible to make universal generalizations that will be valid across all time and space. Nevertheless, it is generally more useful when you are writing about a particular empirical case to be able to draw lessons from it that have significance for understanding how the world works in locations beyond that case. For instance, it is great to provide insights into how a particular International Monetary Fund lending program worked, but it is even more useful if this allows you to say something about the character of the IMF as an institution, or, going even further, if it allows you to comment on the operations of power in the global political economy. Generalization involves abstraction, and the theories we will be discussing are valuable in linking particular cases to more general understandings about the global political economy. One valuable research design is to link your argument to one theoretical approach and the counter-argument to a different one, and then organize your paper as a contestation between these. This does not have to be a standard hypothesis-testing design. If you are more interested in policy design than international political economy theory then you may emphasize the novel practical implications of your analysis with a statement setting this novelty out rather than developing a hypothesis or argument, but you should still indicate the prevailing understandings that you are challenging, and you should still engage in analysis and not just description.

You will be expected to briefly present your research to the seminar. Criteria used to evaluate your presentation will include (a) your demonstrated knowledge of the material; (b) the degree to which you make your presentation new and interesting for others in the seminar, including linking it to course themes; and (c) your ability to stay within the specified time limit. Presentations will be distributed across the weeks following the submission of the research proposal, and expectations will be adjusted in accordance with the number of weeks you have had to work on the research. The presentations will be a maximum of six minutes.

Weekly Course Schedule and Required Readings

Week 1 Monday September 9/ Overview

Topic: Introduction and overview of the course

Required readings: None.

Optional background readings:

Berenskötter, Felix. 2018. "Deep Theorizing in International Relations." *European Journal of International Relations* 24(4): 814–40.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1177/1354066117739096>

Walzenbach, Günter. 2017. "Global Political Economy." In *International Relations*, ed. Stephen McGlinchey. Bristol, U.K: E-International Relations Publishing, p. 87–97. <https://www.e-ir.info/2016/12/29/global-political-economy/>.

Week 2 Monday September 16/ Concepts I

Topic: Concepts I, the boundaries of the field and some key approaches.

Readings:

Required:

Cohen, Benjamin J. (2007) "Comment: The Transatlantic Divide: Why are American and British IPE so Different?" *Review of International Political Economy* 14 2 (May): 197-219:

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290701288277>

Hobson, John M. (2013) "Part 2: Reconstructing the non-Eurocentric foundations of IPE; From Eurocentric 'open-economy politics' to inter-civilizational political economy," *Review of International Political Economy* 20(5), 1055-81.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2012.733498>.

Lake, David A. (2009) "Open Economy Politics: A Critical Review," *Review of International Organization* Published online 28 May 09,

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1007/s11558-009-9060-y>

Supplementary reading:

Cooley, Alexander (2009) "Contested Contracts: Rationalist Theories of Institutions in American IPE," in Mark Blyth, ed. (2009) *Routledge Handbook of International Political Economy: IPE as a Global Conversation* (London: Routledge), pp. 48-61 OR Cooley, Alexander (2003) "Thinking Rationally about Hierarchy and Global Governance," *Review of International Political Economy*, 10(4), November, pp. 672-84.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.2307/4177482>

Hobson, John M. 2013a. "Part 1 – Revealing the Eurocentric foundations of IPE: A critical historiography of the discipline from the classical to the modern era." *Review of International Political Economy* 20(5): 1024–1054.

Note: for the sessions on concepts and theories, a list of supplementary readings is included in Appendix I, and the end of this course outline.

Week 3 Monday September 23/ Concepts II

Topic: Concepts II, some additional key approaches.

Readings:

Bedford, Kate, and Shirin M. Rai. 2013. "Feminists Theorize International Political Economy." *E-International Relations*. <https://www.e-ir.info/2013/03/30/feminists-theorize-international-political-economy/> (Accessed August 17, 2018).

De Goede, Marieke (2006) "Introduction: International Political Economy and the Promises of Poststructuralism" in Marieke de Goede, ed. *International Political Economy and Poststructural Politics* (Basingstoke: Palgrave), pp. 1-20.
https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1057/9780230800892_1

Abdelal, Rawi (2009) "Constructivism as an Approach to International Political Economy," in Mark Blyth, ed. (2009) *Routledge Handbook of International Political Economy: IPE as a Global Conversation* (London: Routledge), pp. 62-76
or Abdelal, Rawi, Mark Blyth and Craig Parsons (2010). "Constructing the International Economy." Introduction to [Constructing the International Economy](#), edited by Rawi Abdelal, Mark Blyth and Craig Parsons, 1-19. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press [See Avenue site].

Van Apeldoorn, Bastiaan and Naná de Graaf (2014) "Corporate elite networks and US post-Cold War grand strategy from Clinton to Obama", *European Journal of International Relations* 20(1), 29-55,
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1177/1354066111433895>.

Supplementary reading:

Bhambra, Gurminder K., and John Holmwood. 2018. "Colonialism, Postcolonialism and the Liberal Welfare State." *New Political Economy* 23(5): 574–87.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2017.1417369>

Clapp, Jennifer and Peter Dauvergne (2005) *Paths to a Green World: The Political Economy of the Global Environment* (Cambridge: MIT Press), Chapter 1, "Peril or Prosperity: Mapping Worldviews of Global Environmental Change," pp. 1-18.

Elias, Juanita, and Shirin Rai. 2015. "The Everyday Gendered Political Economy of Violence." *Politics & Gender* 11(02): 424–429.

Helleiner, Eric. 2015. Globalising the classical foundations of IPE thought. *Contexto Internacional* 37 (3): 975–1010.

Hobson, John M. 2013. Part 1 – Revealing the Eurocentric foundations of IPE: A critical historiography of the discipline from the classical to the modern era. *Review of International Political Economy* 20 (5): 1024–1054.

Hobson, John M. and Leonard Seabrooke. 2007. "Everyday IPE: Revealing Everyday Forms of Change in the World Economy," in John M. Hobson and Leonard Seabrooke, eds., *Everyday Politics of the World Economy*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, Chapter 1, pp. 1-23

Law, John and Hetherington, Kevin (2000). "Materialities, Spatialities, Globalities," in Bryson, John, Daniels, Peter, Henry, Nick and Pollard,

Jane eds. Knowledge, Space, Economy. London, (UK: Routledge), pp. 34–49, available as e-book from Mills Library or on the web as a Lancaster University paper.

Peterson, Spike V. (2005) “How (the meaning of) gender matters in political economy,” *New Political Economy* 10(4):499-521.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563460500344468>

Porter, Tony “Tracing Associations in Global Finance,” (2013) *International Political Sociology* 7(3), September, 334-8.

http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1111/ips.12026_2.

Seabrooke, Leonard, and Kevin L. Young. 2017. “The networks and niches of international political economy.” *Review of International Political Economy* 24(2): 288–331 [long article, skim some parts].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2016.1276949>

Slater, David (1998) “Post-colonial Questions for Global Times,” *Review of International Political Economy*, 5(4) Winter, pp. 647-678.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/096922998347417>

Week 4 Monday September 30/ Trade

Topic: The global trading system.

Readings:

If you are not familiar with the history of the GATT and the WTO please get an overview by reading this webpage:

https://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/history_e/history_e.htm “History of the multilateral trading system”, WTO, scanning over the brief summaries and looking at these links in particular: “The GATT years: from Havana to Marrakesh”

https://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/whatis_e/tif_e/fact4_e.htm and “The Uruguay Round”

https://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/whatis_e/tif_e/fact5_e.htm.

Hopewell, Kristen. 2015. Different paths to power: The rise of Brazil, India and China at the World Trade Organization. *Review of International Political Economy* 22 (2): 311–338 [27 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2014.927387>

Chodor, Tom. 2019. “The Rise and Fall and Rise of the Trans-Pacific Partnership: 21st Century Trade Politics through a New Constitutional Lens.” *Review of International Political Economy* 26(2): 232–55 [23 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2018.1543720>

Sachs, Jeffrey D. 2019. “Will America Create a Cold War with China.” *China Economic Journal* 12(2): 100–108 [8 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/17538963.2019.1601811>

Rosendorff, B. Peter, and Alastair Smith. 2018. "Domestic Political Determinants of the Onset of WTO Disputes." *The Review of International Organizations* 13(2): 243–72 [28 pages]. <http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1007/s11558-017-9296-x>

Supplementary reading:

Abdelal, Rawi, and Adam Segal. 2007. Has Globalization Passed Its Peak? *Foreign Affairs* 86: 103–114.

Aggarwal, Vinod K., and Simon J. Evenett. 2014. Do WTO rules preclude industrial policy? Evidence from the global economic crisis. *Business and Politics* 16 (04): 481–509.

Curran, Louise, and Jappe Eckhardt. 2017. Smoke screen? The globalization of production, transnational lobbying and the international political economy of plain tobacco packaging. *Review of International Political Economy* 24 (1): 87–118.

Curran, Louise, and Jappe Eckhardt. 2018. Influencing trade policy in a multi-level system—understanding corporate political activity in the context of global value chains and regime complexity. *Business and Politics* 20 (01): 132–164.

Curran, Louise. 2015. The impact of trade policy on global production networks: the solar panel case. *Review of International Political Economy* 22 (5): 1025–1054.

Ghemawat, Pankaj. 2017. Globalization in the Age of Trump. *Harvard Business Review* 95 (4): 112–123.

Hannah, Erin, Holly Ryan, and James Scott. 2017. "Power, knowledge and resistance: between co-optation and revolution in global trade." *Review of International Political Economy* 24(5): 741–775.

Hopewell, Kristen. 2015b. Multilateral trade governance as social field: Global civil society and the WTO. *Review of International Political Economy* 22 (6): 1128–1158.

Hopewell, Kristen. 2018. "Recalcitrant spoiler? Contesting dominant accounts of India's role in global trade governance." *Third World Quarterly* 39(3): 577–593. [16 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/01436597.2017.1369033>

Jensen, J. Bradford, Dennis P. Quinn, and Stephen Weymouth. 2017. "Winners and Losers in International Trade: The Effects on US Presidential Voting." *International Organization* 71(3): 423–457.

Postigo, Antonio. 2016. "Institutional spillovers from the negotiation and formulation of East Asian free trade agreements: Government-business relations in the policymaking of bilateral free trade agreements." *Review of International Political Economy* 23(3): 379–417.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2015.1135178>

- Quark, Amy. 2017. *Breaking the WTO: How Emerging Powers Disrupted the Neoliberal Project*; *Breaking the WTO: How Emerging Powers Disrupted the Neoliberal Project*, by Hopewell, Kristen. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2016. 266 pp. \$27.95 paper. ISBN: 9781503600591
- Raza, Werner. 2016. "Politics of scale and strategic selectivity in the liberalisation of public services – the role of trade in services." *New Political Economy* 21(2): 204–219.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2015.1079172>
- Sheng, Liugang, Honyan Zhao, and Jing Zhao. 2019. "Why Will Trump Lose the Trade War?" *China Economic Journal* 12(2): 137–59.
- Wilkinson, Rorden. 2018. "Past as global trade governance prelude: reconfiguring debate about reform of the multilateral trading system." *Third World Quarterly* 39(3): 418–435. [17 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/01436597.2017.1389266>
- Young, Alasdair R. 2016. "Not your parents' trade politics: the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership negotiations." *Review of International Political Economy* 23(3): 345–378. [33 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2016.1150316>
- Zeng, Ka, Karen Sebold, and Yue Lu. 2018. "Global Value Chains and Corporate Lobbying for Trade Liberalization." *The Review of International Organizations*. <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11558-018-9337-0> (July 4, 2019).

Week 5 Monday October 7/ Finance

Topic: Finance.

Readings

- Drezner, Daniel W., and Kathleen R. McNamara. 2013. "International Political Economy, Global Financial Orders and the 2008 Financial Crisis." *Perspectives on Politics* 11(01): 155–166. [11 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1017/S1537592712003660>
- Helleiner, Eric and Stefano Pagliari (2011) "The End of an Era in International Financial Regulation? A Postcrisis Research Agenda," *International Organization* 65, Winter, pp. 169-200. [31 pages]. doi:
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10+10170S0020818310000305>.
- Porter, Tony (2013) "International Regulatory Regimes," in H. Kent Baker and Leigh A. Riddick, eds, *International Finance: A Survey*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 275-92. [17 pages] [On Avenue]

Roberts, Adrienne (2013) "Financing Social Reproduction: The Gendered Relations of Debt and Mortgage Finance in Twenty-first-century America," *New Political Economy*, 18:1, 21-42, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2012.662951>.

Supplementary reading:

Braun, Benjamin. 2016. "Speaking to the people? Money, trust, and central bank legitimacy in the age of quantitative easing." *Review of International Political Economy* 23(6): 1064–1092.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2016.1252415>

Braun, Benjamin. 2016. "Speaking to the people? Money, trust, and central bank legitimacy in the age of quantitative easing." *Review of International Political Economy* 23(6): 1064–1092.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2016.1252415>

Peet, Richard (2011) "Contradictions of Finance Capitalism" *Monthly Review* 63(7), December.

Chaudoin, Stephen, and Helen V. Milner. 2017. Science and the system: IPE and international monetary politics. *Review of International Political Economy* 24 (4): 681–698.

Clegg, Liam (2012) "Global Governance behind Closed Doors: The IMF Boardroom, the Enhanced Structural Adjustment Facility, and the Intersection of Material Power and Norm Stabilisation in Global Politics" *Review of International Organization* 7: 285-308. [23 pages]. DOI

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1007/s11558-011-9133-6>

Cohen, Benjamin. 2017. "The IPE of money revisited." *Review of International Political Economy* 24(4): 657–680. [23 pages]

Fang, Eddy S. (2014) "Islamic Finance in Global Markets: Materialism, ideas and the construction of financial knowledge," *Review of International Political Economy* 21(6) 1170-1202,

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2013.858229>.

Financial Services Authority (2009) *The Turner Review: A Regulatory Response to the Global Banking Crisis*, March, Chapter 1, "What went wrong?", subsection 1.1 "The Global Story" pp. 11-28 and 1.4 "Fundamental Theoretical Issues", pp. 39-50. [11 pages].

http://www.fsa.gov.uk/pubs/other/turner_review.pdf.

Germain, Randall, and Herman Mark Schwartz. 2017. "The political economy of currency internationalisation: the case of the RMB." *Review of International Studies* 43(4): 765–787.

Hojić, Aida A., and Jacqui True. 2016. *Making Feminist Sense of the Global Financial Crisis*. Oxford University Press.

<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190204235.001.0001/acprof-9780190204235-chapter-1>

(Accessed August 17, 2018).

- Kastner, Lisa (2014) "Much ado about nothing?" Transnational civil society, consumer protection and financial regulatory reform," *Review of International Political Economy*, 21:6, 1313-1345, <http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2013.870084>.
- Kentikelenis, Alexander E. et al. (2016) "IMF conditionality and development policy space, 1985–2014." *Review of International Political Economy*. 23:4, 543-582, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2016.1174953>
- Lange, A. C., Lenglet, M., & Seyfert, R. (2016). Cultures of high-frequency trading: mapping the landscape of algorithmic developments in contemporary financial markets. *Economy and Society*, 45(2), 149-165.
- Llewellyn, David (1999) "The Economic Rationale for Financial Regulation" Occasional Paper Series 1, April, Financial Services Authority [available on web], pp. 9-30. [21 pages]
- McNally, Christopher A., and Julian Guin. 2017. "A novel pathway to power? Contestation and adaptation in China's internationalization of the RMB." *Review of International Political Economy* 24(4): 599–628.
- McNally, Christopher A., and Julian Guin. 2017. "A novel pathway to power? Contestation and adaptation in China's internationalization of the RMB." *Review of International Political Economy* 24(4): 599–628
- Nichols, Shawn. 2018. "Expanding property rights under investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS): class struggle in the era of transnational capital." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(2): 243–269.
- Pagliari, Stefano (2012) "How Can We Mitigate Capture in Financial Regulation?" in Stefano Pagliari, Stefano, ed. *The Making of Good Financial Regulation: Towards a Policy Response to Regulatory Capture*, June, London: International Centre for Financial Regulation. At <http://www.stefanopagliari.net/publications.html>.
- Porter, Tony (2010) "Finance" in Robert A. Denemark, eds, *The International Studies Encyclopedia*. Chichester: Blackwell Publishing, pp. 2254-73 and Blackwell Reference Online. 13 May 2010. [19 pages]
- Zangl, Bernhard, Frederick Heußner, Andreas Kruck, and Xenia Lanzendörfer. 2016. "Imperfect adaptation: how the WTO and the IMF adjust to shifting power distributions among their members." *The Review of International Organizations* 11(2): 171–196. <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/view/10.1007/s11558-016-9246-z>

Week 6 Week of October 14/ Break – No class

Reading Week, no class

Week 7 Monday October 21/ Finance and global order.

Topic: Finance and global order

Helleiner, Eric. 2019. "The Life and Times of Embedded Liberalism: Legacies and Innovations since Bretton Woods." *Review of International Political Economy*: 1–24 [24 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2019.1607767>

Konings, Martijn. 2016. "Governing the system: Risk, finance, and neoliberal reason." *European Journal of International Relations* 22(2): 268–288 [20 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1177/1354066115593393>

Baker, Andrew. 2018. "Macroprudential Regimes and the Politics of Social Purpose." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(3): 293–316 [23 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2018.1459780>

Schwartz, Herman Mark. 2019. "American Hegemony: Intellectual Property Rights, Dollar Centrality, and Infrastructural Power." *Review of International Political Economy* 26(3): 490–519 [29 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2019.1597754>.

Week 8 Monday October 28/ Beyond US liberal hegemony?

Topic: What are the implications of recent developments for the US-centred global order?

Readings:

Pacheco Pardo, Ramon, Jan Knoerich, and Yuanfang Li. 2019. "The Role of London and Frankfurt in Supporting the Internationalisation of the Chinese Renminbi." *New Political Economy* 24(4): 530–45 [15 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2018.1472561>

Ross, Robert S. 2019. "On the Fungibility of Economic Power: China's Economic Rise and the East Asian Security Order." *European Journal of International Relations* 25(1): 302–27 [25 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1177/1354066118757854>

Rethel, Lena. 2019. "Corporate Islam, Global Capitalism and the Performance of Economic Moralities." *New Political Economy* 24(3): 350–64 [14 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2018.1446925>

Wolf, Reinhard. 2018. "Debt, Dignity, and Defiance: Why Greece Went to the Brink." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(6): 829–53 [24 pages].
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2018.1490331>

Nilsen, Alf Gunvald, and Karl von Holdt. 2019. "Rising Powers, People Rising: Neo-Liberalization and Its Discontents in the BRICS Countries." *Globalizations* 16(2): 121–36 [15 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/14747731.2018.1479018>

Supplementary reading:

Carstensen, Martin B., and Vivien A. Schmidt. 2018. "Ideational Power and Pathways to Legitimation in the Euro Crisis." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(6): 753–78.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2018.1512892>

Dooley, Neil. 2019. "Who's Afraid of the Big Bad Wolf? Rethinking the Core and Periphery in the Eurozone Crisis." *New Political Economy* 24(1): 62–88.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2017.1417363>

Lütz, Susanne, and Sven Hilgers. 2019. "When Overlapping Organisations Play Two-Level Games: IMF–EU Interaction in Credit Lending to Latvia and Greece." *New Political Economy* 24(3): 299–312.

Wigger, Angela. 2019. "The New EU Industrial Policy: Authoritarian Neoliberal Structural Adjustment and the Case for Alternatives." *Globalizations* 16(3): 353–69

Week 9 Monday November 4/ The Global South

Topic: The global South, development and post-development

Readings:

Güven, Ali Burak. 2018. "Whither the post-Washington Consensus? International financial institutions and development policy before and after the crisis." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(3): 392–417. [25 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2018.1459781>

Leifsen, Esben, Maria-Therese Gustafsson, Maria A. Guzmán-Gallegos, and Almut Schilling-Vacaflor. 2017. "New mechanisms of participation in extractive governance: between technologies of governance and resistance work." *Third World Quarterly* 38(5): 1043–1057. [14 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/01436597.2017.1302329>

Behuria, Pritish. 2018. "Learning from Role Models in Rwanda: Incoherent Emulation in the Construction of a Neoliberal Developmental State." *New Political Economy* 23(4): 422–40 [18 pages].
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2017.1371123>

Samford, Steven. 2015. Innovation and public space: The developmental possibilities of regulation in the global south: Innovation and public space. *Regulation & Governance* 9 (3): 294–308. [16 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1111/rego.12077>

Supplementary reading:

- Birdsall, Nancy, and Francis Fukuyama. 2011. *The Post-Washington Consensus: Development after the Crisis*. Center for Global Development. Working Paper. <https://www.cgdev.org/publication/post-washington-consensus-development-after-crisis-working-paper-244>.
- Davies, Joanne E. 2018. “Does the Millennium Challenge Corporation reinforce capitalist power structures or empower citizens?” *Third World Quarterly* 39(4): 609–625.
- Feigenbaum, Evan A. 2017. “China and the World: Dealing with a Reluctant Power.” *Foreign Affairs*: 33–40.
- Ferreira, Ines A. 2017. “Measuring state fragility: a review of the theoretical groundings of existing approaches.” *Third World Quarterly* 38(6): 1291–1309.
- Fichtner, Jan. 2017. “Perpetual decline or persistent dominance? Uncovering Anglo-America’s true structural power in global finance.” *Review of International Studies* 43(1): 3–28
- Gabor, Daniela, and Sally Brooks. 2017. “The digital revolution in financial inclusion: international development in the fintech era.” *New Political Economy* 22(4): 423–436.
- Germain, Randall, and Herman Mark Schwartz. 2017. “The political economy of currency internationalisation: the case of the RMB.” *Review of International Studies* 43(4): 765–787.
- Hickel, Jason. 2017. “Is global inequality getting better or worse? A critique of the World Bank’s convergence narrative.” *Third World Quarterly* 38(10): 2208–2222.
- Hobson, John M., and Alina Sajed. 2017. Navigating Beyond the Eurofetishist Frontier of Critical IR Theory: Exploring the Complex Landscapes of Non-Western Agency. *International Studies Review* 19 (4): 547–572.
- Jacob, Suraj, John A. Scherpereel, and Melinda Adams. 2017. “Will rising powers undermine global norms? The case of gender-balanced decision-making.” *European Journal of International Relations* 23(4): 780–808.
- Kentikelenis, Alexander E., Thomas H. Stubbs, and Lawrence P. King. 2016. “IMF conditionality and development policy space, 1985–2014.” *Review of International Political Economy* 23(4): 543–582. [38 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2016.1174953>
- Klingebiel, Stephan. 2018. “Transnational public goods provision: the increasing role of rising powers and the case of South Africa.” *Third World Quarterly* 39(1): 175–188. [13 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/01436597.2017.1333887>

Leveringhaus, Nicola, and Kate Sullivan de Estrada. 2018. "Between conformity and innovation: China's and India's quest for status as responsible nuclear powers." *Review of International Studies* 44(3): 482–503.

Martinez-Diaz, Leonardo and Ngaire Woods (2009), "Introduction: Developing Countries in a Networked Global Order," in Martinez-Diaz, Leonardo, and Ngaire Woods, eds. *Networks of influence? developing countries in a networked global order*. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 1-18.

McKinney, Jared. 2018. "How stalled global reform is fueling regionalism: China's engagement with the G20." *Third World Quarterly* 39(4): 709–726. [17 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/01436597.2017.1374838>

Meckling, Jonas. 2018. "The developmental state in global regulation: Economic change and climate policy." *European Journal of International Relations* 24(1): 58–81.

Morin, Jean-Frédéric, Omar Serrano, Mira Burri, and Sara Bannerman. 2018. Rising Economies in the International Patent Regime: From Rule-breakers to Rule-changers and Rule-makers. *New Political Economy* 23 (3): 255–273 [18 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2017.1349086>

Nem Singh, Jewellord, and Jesse Salah Ovidia. 2018. "The theory and practice of building developmental states in the Global South." *Third World Quarterly* 39(6): 1033–1055.

Ruckert, Arne, Laura Macdonald, and Kristina R. Proulx. 2017. "Post-neoliberalism in Latin America: a conceptual review." *Third World Quarterly* 38(7): 1583–1602.

Week 10 Monday November 11/ Supply chains

Topic: Supply chains and production networks

Readings:

Alford, Matthew, and Nicola Phillips. 2018. "The political economy of state governance in global production networks: change, crisis and contestation in the South African fruit sector." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(1): 98–121 [23 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2017.1423367>

Enloe, Cynthia H. 2014. *Bananas, beaches and bases: making feminist sense of international politics*. Second edition, Completely Revised and Updated.

Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, Chapter 7: "Women's Labor is Never Cheap: Gendering Global Blue Jeans and Bankers," ProQuest Ebook Central edition:

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/mcmu/reader.action?ppg=242&docID=1687669&tm=1534624076475>

Gereffi, Gary. 2014. "Global value chains in a post-Washington Consensus world." *Review of International Political Economy* 21(1): 9–37. [28 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2012.756414>

Crasnic, Lorian, Nikhil Kalyanpur, and Abraham Newman. 2017. "Networked liabilities: Transnational authority in a world of transnational business." *European Journal of International Relations* 23(4): 906–929.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1177/1354066116679245>

Supplementary reading:

Albertoni, Nicolás. 2018. The New Dynamics of the International Trading System. *Global Policy* 9 (1): 156–158.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1111/1758-5899.12533>

Baker, Lucy, and Benjamin K. Sovacool. 2017. "The political economy of technological capabilities and global production networks in South Africa's wind and solar photovoltaic (PV) industries." *Political Geography* 60: 1–12.

Blind, Knut, Axel Mangelsdorf, Crispin Niebel, and Florian Ramel. 2018. "Standards in the global value chains of the European Single Market." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(1): 28–48. [20 pages]

Enloe, Cynthia, Anita Lacey, and Thomas Gregory. 2016. "Twenty-five years of *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: A conversation with Cynthia Enloe.*" *Journal of Sociology* 52(3): 537–550.

Koenig-Archibugi, Mathias. 2017. Does transnational private governance reduce or displace labor abuses? Addressing sorting dynamics across global supply chains: Reducing or displacing labor abuses? *Regulation & Governance* 11 (4): 343–352. [9 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1111/rego.12169>

May, Christopher. 2017. "Multinational Corporations in World Development: 40 years on." *Third World Quarterly* 38(10): 2223–2241.

Neilson, Jeffrey, Bill Pritchard, and Henry Wai-chung Yeung. 2014. "Global value chains and global production networks in the changing international political economy: An introduction." *Review of International Political Economy* 21(1): 1–8.

Osgood, Iain. 2018. "Globalizing the Supply Chain: Firm and Industrial Support for US Trade Agreements." *International Organization* 72(2): 455–484.

Ponte, Stefano, and Timothy Sturgeon. 2014. "Explaining governance in global value chains: A modular theory-building effort." *Review of International Political Economy* 21(1): 195–223. [29 pages]

Posen, Adam S. 2018a. The Post-American World Economy: Globalization in the Trump Era Letting Go. *Foreign Affairs* 97: 28–38.

Seabrooke, Leonard, and Duncan Wigan. 2017. "The governance of global wealth chains." *Review of International Political Economy* 24(1): 1–29.

Starrs, Sean. 2013. "American Economic Power Hasn't Declined-It Globalized! Summoning the Data and Taking Globalization Seriously." *International Studies Quarterly* 57(4): 817–830.

Week 11 Monday November 18/ Infrastructures

Topic: Infrastructures of global capitalism: platforms, networks, plumbing

Readings:

Oh, Yoon Ah. 2018. "Power Asymmetry and Threat Points: Negotiating China's Infrastructure Development in Southeast Asia." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(4): 530–52.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2018.1447981>

Weiss, Linda, and Elizabeth Thurbon. 2018. "Power Paradox: How the Extension of US Infrastructural Power Abroad Diminishes State Capacity at Home." *Review of International Political Economy* 25(6): 779–810 [31 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2018.1486875>

Galloway, Scott. 2018. The Case for Breaking Up Amazon, Apple, Facebook and Google. *Esquire*. Available at <<https://www.esquire.com/news-politics/a15895746/bust-big-tech-silicon-valley/>>. [~5 pages]

Srnicek, Nick. 2017. *Platform capitalism*. Cambridge, UK ; Malden, MA: Polity, Chapter 2, pp. 36-92 [56 very short pages, also read last chapter if you can].

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/MCMU/detail.action?docID=4773843>

Supplementary reading:

Bratton, Benjamin H. 2015. *The Stack: On Software and Sovereignty*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press, Chapters 1-2, pp. 3-12 and 9-12, pp. 41-57.

Hardin, C., and Rottinghaus, A. R. (2015) 'Introducing a cultural approach to technology in financial markets', *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 8(5), 547-563

Henriksen, L. F. (2013). Performativity and the politics of equipping for calculation: Constructing a global market for microfinance. *International Political Sociology*, 7(4), 406-425

Kenney, Martin, and John Zysman. 2016. "The Rise of the Platform Economy." *Issues in Science and Technology* 32(3): 61–69. [8 pages]

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/24727063>

Pasquale, Frank. 2016. "Two Narratives of Platform Capitalism." *Yale Law & Policy Review* 35: 309–319.

Week 12 Monday November 25/ New technologies

Topic: Digitization, industry 4.0, Internet of Things, energy

Readings:

Gabor, Daniela, and Sally Brooks. 2017. "The Digital Revolution in Financial Inclusion: International Development in the Fintech Era." *New Political Economy* 22(4): 423–36 [13 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2017.1259298>

de Goede, Marieke. 2018. "The chain of security." *Review of International Studies* 44(1): 24–42. [18 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1017/S0260210517000353>

Schia, Niels Nagelhus. 2018. "The cyber frontier and digital pitfalls in the Global South." *Third World Quarterly* 39(5): 821–837. [16 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/01436597.2017.1408403>

Wachter, Sandra. 2018. "Normative Challenges of Identification in the Internet of Things: Privacy, Profiling, Discrimination, and the GDPR." *Computer Law & Security Review* 34(3): 436–49 [14 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1016/j.clsr.2018.02.002>

Kuzemko, Caroline, Andrew Lawrence, and Matthew Watson. 2019. "New Directions in the International Political Economy of Energy." *Review of International Political Economy* 26(1): 1–24 [24 pages].

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/09692290.2018.1553796>

Supplementary reading:

Berry, David M. 2012. "The relevance of understanding code to international political economy." *International Politics* 49(2): 277–296. [19 pages]

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1057/ip.2011.37>

Couldry, Nick. 2014. Inaugural: A necessary disenchantment: myth, agency and injustice in a digital world. *The Sociological Review* 62 (4): 880–897.

Ebert, Hannes, and Tim Maurer. 2013. "Contested Cyberspace and Rising Powers." *Third World Quarterly* 34(6): 1054–1074.

Flyverbom, Mikkel, Anders Koed Madsen, and Andreas Rasche. 2017. Big data as governmentality in international development: Digital traces, algorithms, and altered visibilities. *The Information Society* 33 (1): 35–42.

Hansen, Hans Krause, and Tony Porter. 2012. "What Do Numbers Do in Transnational Governance?" *International Political Sociology* 6(4): 409–426.

Hansen, Hans Krause, and Tony Porter. 2017. "What do Big Data Do in Global Governance?" *Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations* 23(1): 31–42.

- Kim, Junmo, and Ador Torneo. 2018. "Proliferation of meso-industrial revolutions: is industry 4.0 just one of the waves?" *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 132: 1–1.
- Kshetri, Nir. 2017. "The economics of the Internet of Things in the Global South." *Third World Quarterly* 38(2): 311–339.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/01436597.2016.1191942>
- Lund, Susan, and Laura Tyson. 2018. Globalization Is Not in Retreat: Digital Technology and the Future of Trade Essays. *Foreign Affairs* 97: 130–140
- Shen, Hong. 2018. "Building a Digital Silk Road? Situating the Internet in China's Belt and Road Initiative." *International Journal of Communication* 12(0): 19. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/8405>
- Slaughter, Anne-Marie. 2016. How to Succeed in the Networked World: A Grand Strategy for the Digital Age Essays. *Foreign Affairs* 95: 76-89. [13 pages]
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/fora95&i=1248>
- Couldry, Nick, and Alison Powell. 2014. Big Data from the bottom up. *Big Data & Society* 1 (2): 2053951714539277.
- Tran, Alexander H. 2017. "The Internet of Things and Potential Remedies in Privacy Tort Law." *Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems* 50(2): 263–298.
- Urquhart, Lachlan, and Derek McAuley. 2018. "Avoiding the internet of insecure industrial things." *Computer Law & Security Review* 34(3): 450–466.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1016/j.clsr.2017.12.004>
- Wachter, Sandra. 2018. "Normative challenges of identification in the Internet of Things: Privacy, profiling, discrimination, and the GDPR." *Computer Law & Security Review* 34(3): 436–449.
- Yeung, Karen. 2017. "Algorithmic regulation: A critical interrogation: Algorithmic Regulation." *Regulation & Governance*.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1111/rego.12158>. [19 pages]
- Yin, Yong, Kathryn E. Steckle, and Dongni Li. 2018. "The evolution of production systems from Industry 2.0 through Industry 4.0." *International Journal of Production Research* 56(1–2): 848–861.

Week 13 Monday December 2/ Governance

Topic: Governance, prospects for the future?

Readings:

Acharya, Amitav. 2017. "After Liberal Hegemony: The Advent of a Multiplex World Order." *Ethics & International Affairs* 31(03): 271–285. [14 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1017/S089267941700020X>

Vij, Ritu. 2019. "The Global Subject of Precarity." *Globalizations* 16(4): 506–24 [18 pages].
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/14747731.2019.1600287>

Colgan, Jeff D., and Robert O. Keohane. 2017. The Liberal Order Is Rigged: Fix It Now or Watch It Wither. *Foreign Affairs* 96: 36–44. [8 pages]
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/fora96&i=482>

Hobson, John. 2015. The Eastern Origins of the Rise of the West and the “Return” of Asia. *East Asia* 32 (3): 239–255. [16 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1007/s12140-015-9229-3>

Rommerskirchen, Charlotte, and Holly Snaith. 2018. “Bringing Balance to the Force? A Comparative Analysis of Institutionalisation Processes in the G20’s Mutual Assessment Process and the EU’s Macroeconomic Imbalances Procedure.” *New Political Economy* 23(4): 391–406 [15 pages].
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1080/13563467.2017.1371121>

Supplementary reading:

Black, Julia. 2017. “Says who?’ liquid authority and interpretive control in transnational regulatory regimes.” *International Theory* 9(2): 286–310.

Bruff, Ian, and Cemal Burak Tansel. 2019. “Authoritarian Neoliberalism: Trajectories of Knowledge Production and Praxis.” *Globalizations* 16(3): 233–44 [11 pages]

Burgoon, Brian, Tim Oliver, and Peter Trubowitz. 2017. Globalization, domestic politics, and transatlantic relations. *International Politics* 54 (4): 420–433. [13 pages] <http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1057/s41311-017-0040-1>

Carstensen, Martin B., and Vivien A. Schmidt. 2018. “Ideational Power and Pathways to Legitimation in the Euro Crisis.” *Review of International Political Economy* 25(6): 753–78.

Deudney, Daniel, and G. John Ikenberry. 2018. Liberal World: The Resilient Order Which World Are We Living in. *Foreign Affairs* 97: 16–24. [9 pages]

Downie, Christian. 2017. “One in 20: the G20, middle powers and global governance reform.” *Third World Quarterly* 38(7): 1493–1510.

Graz, Jean-Christophe, and Christophe Hauert. 2014. Beyond the transatlantic divide: the multiple authorities of standards in the global political economy of services. *Business and Politics* 16 (01): 113–150. [37 pages]

Krisch, Nico. 2017. “Liquid authority in global governance.” *International Theory* 9(2): 237–260.

Macdonald, Kate, and Terry Macdonald. 2017. “Liquid authority and political legitimacy in transnational governance.” *International Theory* 9(2): 329–351. [22 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1017/S1752971916000300>

MacDonald, Paul K. 2018. “Embedded authority: a relational network approach to hierarchy in world politics.” *Review of International Studies* 44(1): 128–150.

Mcconaughey, Meghan, Paul Musgrave, and Daniel H. Nexon. 2018. “Beyond anarchy: logics of political organization, hierarchy, and international

- structure." *International Theory* 10(2): 181–218.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1017/S1752971918000040>
- Milner, Helen V. 1998. "International Political Economy: Beyond Hegemonic Stability." *Foreign Policy* (110): 112–123. [11 pages]
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.2307/1149280>
- Posen, Barry R. 2018. The Rise of Illiberal Hegemony: Trump's Surprising Grand Strategy Letting Go. *Foreign Affairs* 97: 20–27. [7 pages]
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/fora97&i=238>
- Pratt, Tyler. 2018. "Deference and Hierarchy in International Regime Complexes." *International Organization* 72(3): 561–590.
- Saull, Richard. 2018. "Racism and Far Right Imaginaries Within Neo-Liberal Political Economy." *New Political Economy* 23(5): 588–608 [30 pages].
- Skidmore, David. 2005. Understanding the Unilateralist Turn in U.S. Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 1 (2): 207–228.
<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00010.x>
- Starrs, Sean. 2013. "American Economic Power Hasn't Declined-It Globalized! Summoning the Data and Taking Globalization Seriously." *International Studies Quarterly* 57(4): 817–830.
- Zürn, Michael. 2017. "From constitutional rule to loosely coupled spheres of liquid authority: a reflexive approach." *International Theory* 9(2): 261–285.

Course Policies

Submission of Assignments

Assignments will be submitted to Avenue using the Assignment Submission Folders.

Grades

Grades will be based on the McMaster University grading scale:

MARK	GRADE
90-100	A+
85-90	A
80-84	A-
77-79	B+
73-76	B
70-72	B-
69-0	F

Late Assignments

Late assignments, if accepted, will be penalized by one grade point per day including Saturday and Sunday (a grade point is the interval between A+ and A, A and A-, etc.). Exceptions will only be made for serious documented problems such as illness. It is your responsibility to make contingency plans for unforeseen problems such as computer and car failures.

Absences, Missed Work, Illness

Please see the Faculty of Social Sciences webpage on policy for absences and illness: <https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/current-students/absence-form>

Avenue to Learn

In this course we will be using Avenue to Learn. Students should be aware that, when they access the electronic components of this course, private information such as first and last names, user names for the McMaster e-mail accounts, and program affiliation may become apparent to all other students in the same course. The available information is dependent on the technology used. Continuation in this course will be deemed consent to this disclosure. If you have any questions or concerns about such disclosure please discuss this with the course instructor.

Turnitin.com

In this course we will be using a web-based service (Turnitin.com) to reveal plagiarism. Students will be expected to submit their work electronically to Turnitin.com and to Avenue. Students who do not wish to submit their work to Turnitin.com must still submit a copy to the Avenue folder. No penalty will be assigned to a student who does not submit work to Turnitin.com. All submitted work is subject to normal verification that standards of academic integrity have been upheld (e.g., on-line search, etc.). To see the Turnitin.com Policy, please to go www.mcmaster.ca/academicintegrity.

University Policies

Academic Integrity Statement

You are expected to exhibit honesty and use ethical behavior in all aspects of the learning process. Academic credentials you earn are rooted in principles of honesty and academic integrity.

Academic dishonesty is to knowingly act or fail to act in a way that results or could result in unearned academic credit or advantage. This behavior can result in serious consequences, e.g. the grade of zero on an assignment, loss of credit with a notation on the transcript (notation reads: “Grade of F assigned for academic dishonesty”), and/or suspension or expulsion from the university.

It is your responsibility to understand what constitutes academic dishonesty. For information on the various types of academic dishonesty please refer to the Academic Integrity Policy, located at www.mcmaster.ca/academicintegrity.

The following illustrates only three forms of academic dishonesty:

1. Plagiarism, e.g. the submission of work that is not one’s own or for which credit has been obtained.
2. Improper collaboration in group work.
3. Copying or using unauthorized aids in tests and examinations.

Academic Accommodation of Students with Disabilities

Students who require academic accommodation must contact Student Accessibility Services (SAS) to make arrangements with a Program Coordinator. Academic accommodations must be arranged for each term of study. Student Accessibility Services can be contacted by phone 905-525-9140 ext. 28652 or e-mail sas@mcmaster.ca. For further information, consult McMaster University’s Policy for [Academic Accommodation of Students with Disabilities](#).

Faculty of Social Sciences E-mail Communication Policy

Effective September 1, 2010, it is the policy of the Faculty of Social Sciences that all e-mail communication sent from students to instructors (including TAs), and from students to staff, must originate from the student’s own McMaster University e-mail account. This policy protects confidentiality and confirms the identity of the student. It is the student’s responsibility to ensure that communication is sent to the university from a McMaster account. If an instructor becomes aware that a communication has come from an alternate address, the instructor may not reply at his or her discretion.

Course Modification

The instructor and university reserve the right to modify elements of the course during the term. The university may change the dates and deadlines for any or all courses in extreme circumstances. If either type of modification becomes necessary, reasonable

notice and communication with the students will be given with explanation and the opportunity to comment on changes. It is the responsibility of the student to check his/her McMaster email and course websites weekly during the term and to note any changes.

Appendix I: Other Theoretical Readings

Two special issues on the US-British IPE debate:

The New Political Economy debate on British IPE, 14(3) 2009:

The RIPE debate on IPE: 16(1) 2009.

Metatheoretical debate on cultural political economy:

Best, Jacqueline and Matthew Paterson (2009) chapter 1 in Best and Paterson, eds.
Cultural Political Economy New York: Routledge.

Jessop, Bob and Ngai-Ling Sum (2010) "Cultural Political Economy: Logics of
Discovery, Epistemic Fallacies, the Complexity of Emergence, and the Potential
of the Cultural Turn," *New Political Economy*, 15:3, 445-451.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563461003802051>

Jessop, Bob and Stijn Oosterlynck (2008) "Cultural Political Economy: On Making the
Cultural Turn without Falling into Soft Economic Sociology," *Geoforum* 39 pp.
1155-69.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.12.008>

van Heur, Bas (2010) "Beyond Regulation: Towards a Cultural Political Economy of
Complexity and Emergence", *New Political Economy*, 15:3, 421-444.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563460903290938>

van Heur, Bas (2010) "Research and Relevance: Response to Jessop and Sum", *New
Political Economy*, 15:3, 453-456.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563461003789811>

Gender and GPE:

Bedford, Kate and Shirin M. Rai (2010) "Feminist Theorize International Political
Economy" *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 36(1), pp. 1-18, and
other articles in this special issue on "Feminists Theorize International Political
Economy".

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://web.ebscohost.com.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/ehost/detail?vid=3&sid=bbc3f127-cc56-4fd5-8a36-adcd430b4bbc%40sessionmgr113&hid=117&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbGl2ZSZzY29wZT1zaXRI#db=qth&AN=55254332>

Griffin, Penny (2007) "Refashioning IPE: What and how gender analysis teaches
international (global) political economy", *Review of International Political
Economy* 14(4) October pp. 719-36.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09692290701475437>

Runyan, Anne Sisson (1997) "Of Markets and Men: The (Re) Making(s) of IPE", in Kurt
Burch and Robert A. Denemark, eds., *Constituting International Political
Economy* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner), pp. 79-90.

Walen, Georgina (2006) "You still don't understand: why troubled engagements continue between feminists and (critical) IPE" *Review of International Studies* 32, pp. 145-64.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0260210506006966>

Whitworth, Sandra "Theory and Exclusion: Gender, Masculinity and International Political Economy," in Richard Stubbs and Geoffrey R.D. Underhill, eds. *Political Economy and the Changing Global Order* (Don Mills: Oxford), pp. 88-98.

Realist and mercantilist approaches:

Drezner, Daniel W. (2007) *All Politics is Global: Explaining International Regulatory Regimes* (Princeton: Princeton University Press), Chapter 1, "Bringing the Great Powers Back In", pp. 3-31.

Helleiner, Eric and Andreas Pickel, eds., (2005) *Economic Nationalism in a Globalizing World*, (Ithaca: Cornell University Press), "Introduction. False Oppositions: Reconceptualizing Economic Nationalism in a Globalizing World," by Pickel, pp. 1-17 and "Conclusion: The Meaning and Contemporary Significance of Economic Nationalism," pp. 220-34.

Kirshner, Jonathan (2009) "Realist Political Economy: Traditional Themes and Contemporary Challenges," in Mark Blyth, ed. (2009) *Routledge Handbook of International Political Economy: IPE as a Global Conversation* (London: Routledge), pp. 36-47.

Constructivist, Marxist and post-structural approaches:

Davenport, Andrew (2013) "Marxism in IR: Condemned to a Realist Fate?" *European Journal of International Relations* 19(1), pp. 27-48.

de Goede Marieke, 'Beyond Economism in IPE,' *Review of International Studies* 29 1 January (2003) 79-97.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0260210503000056>

Langley, Paul (2009) "Power-Knowledge estranged: From Susan Strange to Poststructuralism in British IPE", in Mark Blyth, ed., *Routledge Handbook of International Political Economy* (London: Routledge), pp. 126-39
Farrell, H. and Finnemore, M. (2009) "Ontology, Methodology and Causation in the American School of International Political Economy," *Review of International Political Economy*, 16(1): 58-71.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09692290802524075>

Overbeek, Henk (2000) "Transnational Historical Materialism: Theories of Transnational Class Formation and World Order", in Ronen Palan, ed. *Global Political Economy: Contemporary Theories* (London: Routledge), pp. 168-83.

Palan, Ronan (2000) "The Constructivist Underpinnings of the New International Political Economy" in Ronen Palan ed., *Global Political Economy: Contemporary Theories* (London: Routledge), 213-28.

- Rupert, Mark (1995) "Marx, Gramsci and the Possibilities for Radical Renewal in IPE," Chapter 2 of Mark Rupert, *Producing Hegemony: The Politics of Mass Production and American Global Power* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), pp. 14-38.
- Schechter, Michael G. "Critiques of Coxian Theory: Background to a Conversation" in Robert W. Cox and Michael G. Schechter. *The Political Economy of a Plural World: Critical Reflections on Power, Morals and Civilization* (London: Routledge, 2002)
- Selwyn, Ben (2009) "An Historical Materialist Appraisal of Friedrich List and his Modern-Day Followers," in *New Political Economy*, 14(2), June, pp. 157-80.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563460902825965>
- Widmaier, Wesley (2009) "Economics are too important to leave to economists: The everyday – and emotional - dimensions of international political economy", *Review of International Political Economy* 16(5): 945-57.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09692290903306869>

Rational choice approaches:

- Bruno S. Frey, *International Political Economics* (Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1984), 1-14, 165-177 or 'The Public Choice View of International Political Economy,' *International Organization* 38 (Winter), 199-223.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706605>
- Jeffrey Frieden and Lisa L. Martin, "International Political Economy: Global and Domestic Interactions," in Ira Katznelson and Helen Milner eds., *Political Science: the State of the Discipline* (New York: WW Norton, 2003), 118-146.
- Oatley, Thomas (2011) "The Reductionist Gamble: Open Economy Politics in the Global Economy" *International Organization*, 65, Spring, pp. 311-41.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S002081831100004X>

Institutionalism:

- Bruff, Ian (2011) "What about the Elephant in the Room? Varieties of Capitalism, Varieties in Capitalism," *New Political Economy* 16:4, 481-500.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2011.519022>
- Drezner, Daniel W. (2010) "Is Historical Institutionalism Bunk?" *Review of International Political Economy* 17(4) pp. 791-804 [and other articles on historical institutionalism in that issue].
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09692291003723656>
- Jackson, Gregory and Richard Deeg (2008) "From Comparing Capitalisms to the Politics of Institutional Change," *Review of International Political Economy*, 15(4), pp. 680-709.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09692290802260704>

Spruyt, Hendrik (2000) "New Institutionalism and International Relations," in Ronen Palan *Global Political Economy: Contemporary Theories* (Routledge: London and New York), pp. 130-42.

Postcolonial theory and IPE:

Chowdry, Geeta and Sheila Nair (2004) *Power, Postcolonialism and International Relations: Reading Race, Gender and Class* (Lodon: Routledge).

Darby, Phillip (2004) "Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International" *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 33(1), pp. 1-34.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/03058298040330010101>

Kayatekin, Serap A. (2009) "Between Political Economy and Postcolonial Theory: First Encounters," *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 33, pp. 1113-8.

<http://dx.doi.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/10.0193/cje/bep067>.

Ling, L.H.M. (2000) "Global Passions within Global Interests: Race, Gender, and Culture in Our Postcolonial Order." In Ronen Palan (ed), *Global Political Economy: Contemporary Theories*, pp. 242-255. London: Routledge.

Mgonja1, Boniface E.S. and Iddi A.M. Makombe (2009) "Debating international relations and its relevance to the third world," *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations* 3(1), January, 27-37.

Other approaches and debates:

Anthony Payne, "Foreword" *New Political Economy* 10, 4, (December 2005): 435-437.

<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563460500344310>

Chin, Gregory, Margaret M. Pearson, and Wang Yong (2013) "Introduction—IPE with China's Characteristics," *Review of International Political Economy* 20:6, 1145-64,

Cohen, B. J. (2009) 'Striking a Nerve', *Review of International Political Economy*, 16(1): 136–43.

Geoffrey R.D. Underhill, "Conceptualizing the Changing Global Order" in Richard Stubbs and Geoffrey R.D. Underhill, eds. *Political Economy and the Changing Global Order* (Don Mills: Oxford), pp. 3-23.

Germain, Randall (2009) "Of Margins, Traditions and Engagements: A Brief Disciplinary History of IPE in Canada," in Mark Blyth, ed. (2009) *Routledge Handbook of International Political Economy: IPE as a Global Conversation* (London: Routledge), pp. 77-91.

John Hobson and Leonard Seabrooke, "Conclusion: Everyday IPE Puzzle Sets, Teaching and Policy Agendas" in Hobson and Seabrooke eds. *Everyday Politics of the World Economy* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2007, 273-295.

- Kratke and Underhill 'Political Economy: The Revival of an Interdiscipline,' in Stubbs and Underhill, *Political Economy and the Changing Global Order* 3rd Edition (Toronto: Oxford University Press 2005), 24-38.
- Nicola Phillips, 'Globalizing the Study of International Political Economy,' in Nicola Phillips ed. *Globalizing International Political Economy* (Palgrave: New York 2005), 1-19.
- Palan, Ronen (2000) 'New Trends in Global Political Economy' in Ronen Palan, ed., *Global Political Economy: Contemporary Theories* (London: Routledge), pp. 1-19.
- Palma, José Gabriel (2009) "Why Did the Latin American Critical Tradition in the Social Sciences Become Practically Extinct?" in Mark Blyth, ed. (2009) *Routledge Handbook of International Political Economy: IPE as a Global Conversation* (London: Routledge), pp. 243-65.
- Review of International Political Economy, (RIPE) 'Editorial: Forum for heterodox international political economy', RIPE, 1(1), Spring 1994, pp. 1-12.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4177086>
- Robert Denmark and Robert O'Brien, 'Contesting the Canon: IPE in UK and US Universities' *Review of International Political Economy* 4 1 (Spring 1997): 214-238.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/096922997347904>
- Stephen D. Krasner, "International Political Economy: Abiding Discord", *Review of International Political Economy* 1 (No.1 Spring) 1994, 13-19.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4177087>
- Strange, Susan, 'Wake up Krasner! The World has Changed' *RIPE* , Summer 1994, 1(2), pp. 209-219.
<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://www.jstor.org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/stable/4177087>
- Susan Strange, 'An Eclectic Approach in The New Political Economy eds. Craig Murphy, Craig and Roger Tooze, (Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 1991), 33-49.
- V. Spike Peterson (2003) *A Critical Rewriting of Global Political Economy* (London and New York: Routledge), Chapter 2, "Theory Matters", pp. 21-43.

Research Proposal Report Form

Your name: _____

Instructions: This form is to allow you to show that you consulted all the required electronic sources. You should have at least one reference from each of these sources, and a total of 20 references listed in your bibliography. You must include at least two recent news articles obtained from LexisNexis or Google, and at least two relevant documents obtained from government, business or NGOs via a Google search. In cases where your search did not turn up relevant references you should provide your search terms and any other brief relevant commentary under "Comments". You should use some numbering system so that you can make clear for each source which items in your bibliography were found using it. For instance you could number all the items in your bibliography or you could number by hand only the ones you are referencing in this form. You are not expected to read or obtain all the references listed here. Part of the goal of this assignment is to provide a report on the state of the knowledge in the field and a list of relevant materials can help address that goal. If the publication looks especially useful and is not available at McMaster University, then it may be worth ordering through RACER (Inter-Library Loan).

<i>Source</i>	<i>Examined? (Y or N)</i>	<i>Reference Numbers</i>	<i>Comments</i>
LexisNexis news			
LexisNexis Law Reviews*			
Social Sciences Citation Index, ABI/INFORM, or comparable databases			
Google Search (not Google Scholar)			
NGO and public sector websites			

**Note: it is important to make sure you search the law reviews. This may require some navigation around the LexisNexis site. Be sure to connect to LexisNexis from campus or by using the off-campus verification that you are a McMaster student. The public free information provided by accessing LexisNexis directly on the web is limited.*